

Molecular and Genetic Mechanisms in Melanoma

Franjo Gruber¹, Marija Kaštelan², Ines Brajac¹, Marina Saftić¹, Vesna Peharda¹,
Leo Čabrić¹, Zrinka Stanić Žgombić¹, and Edit Simonić²

¹ Department of Dermatovenereology, University Hospital Centre »Rijeka«, Rijeka, Croatia

² Department of Dermatovenereology, School of Medicine, University of Rijeka, Rijeka, Croatia

ABSTRACT

Recent studies have indicated an increasing incidence of melanoma worldwide. Although UV signature mutations are found rarely in melanoma cells, there is some evidence that intense intermittent exposure to sunlight can induce melanocyte tumorigenesis, and this is also observed after UV irradiation in some animals. The purpose of this paper is to review some of the most important mechanisms involved in the pathogenesis of this tumor. Genetic studies showed the familial melanoma is linked to the mutation or deletion of the suppressor gene CDKN2A, and perhaps to CDK4. Studies showed that BRAF mutation is frequent in primary and metastatic melanoma cells but also in naevocytic nevi. This mutation activates the RAF/MEK pathway. Exposure to UV radiation induces immunosuppression. Recent investigations showed that chemokines, angiogenesis, metalloproteinases can play a role in the mechanism of metastasis. In spite of these advances the initiating events are still not completely understood. In conclusion, the pathogenesis of melanoma is very complex because numerous genetic and epigenetic factors are implicated in its development and progression, but some of the showed mechanisms can be targets for new therapies.

Key words: suppressor genes, oncogenes, pathogenesis, therapy

Introduction

Melanoma as a »fatal black tumor with metastasis« had been already described in the Corpus Hippocraticus¹. Recent epidemiologic studies have found an increasing incidence of melanoma at an alarming rate in several parts of the world^{2–5}. The tumor develops from melanocytes in the skin, but can arise also in the mucous membranes, uveal tract of eyes, and leptomeninges. The factors implicated in the fast rise in the incidence of melanoma are incompletely understood. In part this can be explained by the change in life style, changes in clothing, vacation and weekend sunbathing, longevity, stratospheric ozone depletion^{6–7}. The melanoma etiology is multifactorial: both genetic factors (familial melanoma, xeroderma pigmentosum, fair skin) and environmental factors such as sunlight (especially the UV radiation) contribute to its inception and evolution⁸. The role of other, non sunlight risk factors, like exposure to ionizing radiation, exposure to chemicals used in some occupations have been also related to melanoma, but possible interactions with genetic factors and UV irradiation is to take in to account^{9–11}. Immunity can also be involved.

In recent years, there has been much concern for humans regarding solar or artificial UVA in the induction of melanoma¹². Although UVB signature mutations C-T and CC-TT transition¹³ are found rarely in melanoma cells, there is some evidence that intense intermittent exposure to sunlight can induce melanocytes tumorigenesis, and this is also observed after UV irradiation in some animals^{13–15}. The purpose of this paper is to review the knowledge about the mechanism of initiation and progression of melanoma.

Hallmarks of Malignant Tumors

During the last decades the understanding of the pathogenesis of tumors has increased considerably but some aspects are still not fully understood. Cancer cells develop from »normal« cells through the accumulation of genetic alterations, which finally leads to the malignant phenotype.

Hanahan and Weinberg have reviewed the most important alteration in a cell leading to malignant transformation and proliferation¹⁶:

- self-sufficiency in growth signals (growth factors, their receptor up-regulation, or growth signaling pathways like *ras* – *raf*, MAP cascade);
- insensitivity to antigrowth signals (pRb lost by mutation of its gene or sequestered by viral oncogenes);
- acquisition of resistance toward apoptosis (defect in activation of FAS death signaling circuit or a mutation in p53 in response to damage of DNA via signal for proapoptotic Bax);
- acquisition of limitless replicative potential (upregulation of telomerases with maintenance of telomere length, circumvention of cellular senescence);
- sustained angiogenesis;
- tissue invasion and metastasis.

The stepwise progression is possible if there is a genome instability¹⁶. Below we will underscore these aspects in melanoma.

UV Effects

It is well established that outdoor or indoor exposure to UV radiation is associated with the development of skin cancer: basal cell carcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma¹⁷. The tumor appears in sun-exposed areas (head, neck, upper limbs), mostly in people with fair skin, frequently on photoaged skin and with actinic keratoses. Acute and chronic UV exposure to UVB (290–320 nm) causes direct DNA damage. DNA absorbs UVB energy by double bond on adjacent pyrimidine bases, thymine (T) and or cytosine (C) on the same strand, forming a four-member cyclobutane ring and pyrimidine 6,4 pyrimidine photoproducts. There is C to T transition or CC to TT double base mutation¹⁸. These changes on p53 are fingerprints or signature mutations of UVB photocarcinogenesis^{19,20}. Experiments in hairless mice and in xiphophorus hybrid fish confirmed the mutagenic effects of UVB^{21–23}.

For melanoma it is not so simple to link it to sunlight. Epidemiological studies showed that melanoma, unlike other skin cancers, is associated with an intense intermittent exposure to sunshine (trunk and legs), or use of tanning lamps, especially in childhood^{12,24}. A recent study showed a significant risk ($p < 0.05$) for melanoma among individuals who used tanning beds in comparison with those who never used them²⁵. For long time UVB was believed to be the »bad UVR« and UVA the safe. Experiments in animals demonstrated that the relevant carcinogen radiation, in melanoma, may be UVA, which causes oxidative damage in the cells^{14,15}. Solar UVA (320–400 nm) makes up approximately 90–95% of UV rays that reach the earth's surface. Their energy is small; however, they penetrate deeper into the skin. A growing body of evidence indicates that UVA, even if absorbed weakly by DNA, causes skin aging, and generates a variety of reactive oxygen species (ROS) such as hydrogen peroxide,

superoxide, peroxynitrite, singlet oxygen i.e. an oxidative stress²⁶. The epidermis contains antioxidant enzymes such as catalase, glutathione peroxidase but intense UV can overwhelm their effects. ROS indirectly damages DNA, forming purine oxidative (mainly guanine) photoproducts such as 8-oxo-7,8-dihydro-2'-deoxy-guanosine, G-T transitions and strand breaks. These alterations were demonstrated in experiments on animals and human fibroblasts^{27–30}. Moreover, ROS can facilitate metastases damaging the endothelial cells.

UVA inducing matrix metalloproteinases, via AP-1 and NF- κ B, increases the aggressivity of the skin cancer. So, UVA radiation, apart of inflammation, and immunosuppression can also induce photocarcinogenesis²⁶.

Gene Mutations

The majority of melanomas arises »de novo« from melanocytes, which function is the synthesis of melanin, its storage, and transfer to keratinocytes. Some melanomas arise from nevi, especially from dysplastic and giant congenital nevi. There are four clinic-histological types of melanoma: the most common is the superficial spreading melanoma, then nodular melanoma, lentigo maligna melanoma and acral lentiginous melanoma³¹. So it seems unlikely that only one mechanism or few genes can have a role in the origin and progression of melanoma cells.

The pathogenesis of melanoma is complex because numerous genetic, epigenetic factors (hyper- or hypomethylation of DNA, acetylation), and environmental factors are implicated in its development and progression^{32–34}. The mutation, deletion, amplification, translocation or methylation of suppressor genes or activation of proto-oncogenes are of paramount importance in the mutagenesis. The mutations of oncogenes are dominant: change in one allele has a determinate effect and can stimulate the growth or proliferation of the cell. Clark et al. proposed that the development of melanoma (melanomagenesis) is commonly stepwise: nevus, dysplasia, melanoma with radial growth phase, vertical growth which is associated with metastasis³⁵. For the clinician and pathologist it is important whether melanoma is in the radial-non metastatic phase, or in the vertical growth phase, when metastases are common³⁶.

In 1820, Norris already wrote that black tumors and moles may be hereditary³⁷. Numerous epidemiological and genetic studies showed the familial melanoma, which represents 8–12% of all melanomas³⁸, is linked to the mutation or deletion of the suppressor gene CDKN2A, and occasionally with mutation of the protooncogene CDK4^{39,40}. In familial melanoma patients there is commonly an aberrant regulation of the cell cycle by cyclins with cyclin dependent kinases: they carry a germ line mutation in the locus cyclin dependent kinase inhibitor 2A gene (CDKN 2A) on the chromosome 9p21. It encodes two suppressor proteins p16 (inhibitor kinase 4A-INK4A) and p14 or Arf (alternative reading frame). The α reading frame encodes p16 whose function is to inhibit the complexing cyclin D/CDK4 and CDK6 i.e. enzymes that

phosphorylate the retinoblastoma protein (pRB) and so drive the cell division cycle. pRB phosphorylation consequently releases E2F, which among others, drives the G1 in S phase of the cell cycle^{41–44}. Recently, Wang et al. found that protein E2F1 has also a suppressor function through p53 or p73⁴⁵. Mutation of CDKN2A is found in 10–30% of the cases in familial melanoma. The mutation or silencing of p16 has been found also in sporadic cases of melanoma, some with C-T transitions, and also together with mutation of the oncogene N RAS⁴⁶.

Patients with CDKN2A mutation can present multiple melanomas. Mutation or loss of CDKN 2A occurs rarely also in actinic keratoses, skin carcinomas, lung carcinoma, breast carcinoma, and other tumors.

The CDKN2A β reading frame encodes p14 (ARF) whose function is to sequester the Mdm2 protein, a negative regulator of p53 mediating its degradation in the proteasome. Loss of ARF decreases p53 activity and apoptosis of mutated cells⁴⁷. Recent experiments demonstrated in mice models that p14 is a suppressor and can induce even senescence in melanocytes activated by oncogenes^{48,49}. So, mutation of CDKN2A results in loss of pRB, p53 control of cell regulation and evasion of senescence.

The development of melanoma is linked also to the skin color. Melanoma occurs in whites tenfold more frequently than in blacks, while it is rare in albinos. This correlation depends mostly on the amount of melanin. So, fair skinned people (skin types I and II) with blond or red hair are more predisposed to melanoma. This is associated with the function of the melanocortin 1-receptor gene (MC1R), located on chromosome 16q24. The gene is highly polymorph and influences sun-sensitivity^{50,51}. It encodes the transmembrane G protein receptor. α Melanocyte-stimulating hormone (α MSH) the clivage product of pro-opiomelanocortin (POMC) secreted by the pituitary, and ACTH, bound and activate the receptor which increase cAMP and so the activity of tyrosinase. Relevantly, these hormones can be also synthesized by the keratinocytes⁵². α MSH increases the production of the dark eumelanin and yellow pheomelanin rich in cysteine. Studies in families with melanoma predisposition (p16 defect) showed that components carrying a variation of MC1R developed earlier melanoma⁵³. Microphthalmia associated transcription factor (MITF) is a gene on chromosome 3p14, relevant in transcription of genes for melanin synthesis. Recent studies demonstrated that it is an oncogene, promoting melanocytes cell cycle progression⁵⁴.

The gene CDK4 (chromosome 12 p14) mutation, whose protein is a kinase, has also been found in some melanoma families, and this lead to cycle progression⁵⁵. Experiments in transgenic mice expressing a mutant CDK4 have shown that the inactivation of retinoblastoma/p16 pathway, leads to the development of melanoma⁵⁶. Melanoma can develop also in patients suffering from hereditary retinoblastoma i.e. with germline mutation of Rb1 gene (13 q14), and in patients with mutations or amplifications of CDK4⁵⁷.

Patients with xeroderma pigmentosum, in which nucleotide excision repair (NER) is defective, are characterized by a high sensitivity to sunlight, with sunburns, and freckling. The UVR induced DNA damage is not recognized or incorrectly repaired, it remains mutated and this leads to an early development of precancerous lesions, nonmelanoma skin cancer, and melanoma²⁰. Interestingly, patients with xeroderma pigmentosum, who develop melanoma have frequently mutated p53, a rarely mutate gene in sporadic melanoma⁵⁸. Moreover, transgenic mice without these genes have increased photosensitivity and are prone to develop skin tumors⁵⁹.

Another DNA mutation found in melanoma, is the protooncogene BRAF (chromosome 7q34) which encodes a cytoplasmatic serine/threonine kinase in the ras pathway. BRAF mutation is present in approximately 60% of the cases, and is the most frequently mutated gene in this tumor, especially on the non sun exposed areas, or better on the intermittent exposed areas (trunk, legs). BRAF activates by phosphorylation other protein kinases: MEK-ERK (which enter the nucleus)-MAP cascade. The mutation hits the exon 15 with a substitution of valine with glutamic acid at position 600 (val 600 glu)^{60,61}. So, the substitution of only one aminoacid leads to mutation. Studies showed that BRAF mutations are frequent in primary and metastatic melanoma cells, but also in nevocytic nevi⁶². This mutation activates the RAF/ MEK pathway. Because of BRAF frequent mutation in nevi, it influences more the proliferation than tumorigenesis, and probably is an early event that must be accompanied by other gene alterations⁶³. A recent international study by Curtin et al. on 126 cases of melanoma has demonstrated that in mucosal and acral melanomas there is commonly a loss of the CDKN2A locus, while on the trunk is more frequent BRAF mutation⁶⁴.

Among the many genes found to be mutated in melanoma, there is also the suppressor gene phosphatase and tensin (PTEN), which is located on chromosome 10q23 and encodes a phosphatase that regulates the extracellular growth signals through the lipid phosphatidylinositolphosphatase (PIP3). PTEN is inactivated by mutation, reduced expression or deletion in 25–50% of non-familial melanoma. The mutation activates through PIP3 AKT and so promotes the cycle progression and inhibits apoptosis⁶². So, PTEN seems to be a regulator of apoptosis, cell proliferation, and cell adhesion. There may be a later mutation, and recently it was found an epigenetic silencing of the gene by promoter inactivation⁶⁵. Furthermore, PTEN is important for the integrity of the chromosomes, as its mutation is associated with frequent chromosome translocation. Mutation of BRAF and PTEN occurs frequently together⁶³.

Mutations in ras genes (1p13) are present in about 20% of human melanomas and are found also in nevi. Commonly the isoform N-Ras is present. This mutation is observed frequently in melanoma from sun-exposed areas, and in congenital nevi. Studies demonstrated that N-RAS and BRAF mutations are not present in the same melanoma⁶⁶.

In human malignant tumors, the most frequently mutated gene is the suppressor p53 located on chromosome 17p13. Its protein product is a transcriptional factor that activates the transcription of numerous genes⁶⁷. P53 has a pivotal role in preserving genetic integrity: It is implicated in DNA repair mechanisms, apoptosis (through Bax), and cell cycle control through its effector p21. Investigations in melanomas showed that p53 mutations are rather rarely detected (about 10%), mostly in a later phase, and even lesser in nevi⁶⁶ but the protein can be over expressed especially in sites exposed to sunlight. Recently, Cui and al. demonstrated that UV irradiation of mouse and human skin generates an increase of p53, that stimulates the synthesis of α MSH in keratinocytes and melanocytes and so the pigmentation⁶⁸. The relevance of BRAF and p53 mutation in the origin of nevi and melanoma demonstrated recently experiments performed in zebrafish: the introduction of mutated BRAF in one-cell stage of the zebrafish led to the development of nevi. If the mutated BRAF was injected in p53 deficient fish, fishes developed melanoma⁶⁹.

Last years, studies of melanoma in mice led to the discovery of a gene relevant for metastasis, and this allowed to determine the gene in man. The gene, NEDD9, is located on chromosome 6p, and is important for molecules implicated in cell adhesion⁷⁰. New techniques permitted to found in melanoma the presence of stem cells, which obviously have an outstanding role in the course of the disease⁷¹.

Role of Telomerase and Immunosuppression

Investigation showed that telomerases are highly expressed in more than 85% of tumors, but repressed in normal cells⁷². Telomerases are responsible for maintaining telomeres length on the end of the chromosomes. Telomere caps preserve chromosomes from degradation and from genomic instability i.e. abnormal recombination during replication prolonging the life span of the cells. With the progressive loss of telomeres, and shortening of the chromosomes with every successive cycle, the chromosomes reach a critical length (about half of the originally length) at which cell division ceases, senescence begins, and the cell ultimately undergoes apoptosis or cell death²⁰. Studies demonstrated that telomerases are significantly more expressed in melanoma than in nevi⁷³. This unambiguously confirms the relevance of the telomerases expression in tumors.

It is well known that defects in the immune response and immunosuppressive therapy can facilitate the development of tumors. Haniszko et al. demonstrated that UV irradiation of the skin suppresses contact sensitivity to DNCB, a strong antigen⁷⁴. In the last decades an increasing amount of evidence suggests that UVR beside induction of tumors causes also immune suppression. Kripke

found that UVR induced skin tumors were highly antigenic and rejected when transplanted in syngenic mice⁷⁵. However, the tumors grew rapidly if the mice were exposed to UVR. Probably this was linked to a loss of immunity, because the effect was transferable to other animals with T lymphocytes. These observations lead to the hypothesis that UVR suppressed the immune system both locally and systemically. So UVR inducing immunosuppression interferes with immunological mechanism of tumor immunosurveillance^{76–78}. First it was believed that only UVB could induce DNA damage, and so trigger immune suppression. Recent works demonstrated that also UVA can produce the same effect by altering the antigen presentation through depletion of Langerhans cells from the epidermis, induction of regulatory T cells (formerly suppressor T Cell), production of IL-10, TNF α . The result is down-regulation of the cellular immune response such as induction and elicitation of contact hypersensitivity, and also an inhibition of NK cells activity, while the humoral part of the immune response is not altered⁸⁰.

Immunosuppression induced by UV can arise from damage of DNA, presence of the urocanic acid in the stratum corneum, which after exposure to UVR isomerizes in the cis configuration that has an immunosuppressive action or UVR leads to the formation of free radicals and membrane lipid peroxidation causing immune suppression. UV irradiation of keratinocytes releases neuropeptides and α MSH, which has immunomodulatory effects. Finally UVR can induce immunosuppression through transformation of provitamin in vitamin D^{20,81}. Despite the long story of immunotherapy in advanced stages of melanoma, the results are scantily⁸².

Recent investigations showed that growth factors, chemokines, angiogenesis (in which VEGF, FGF and IL-8 are implicated), matrix metalloproteinases, could play a role in the mechanism of melanoma progression and metastasis^{83–86}. In spite of these advances the initiating events are still not completely understood.

Conclusion

This brief review of the genetic and immunologic mechanisms of melanomagenesis underscores the relevance of UV irradiation, mutation or deletion of some oncogenes and suppressor genes, immune suppression, and telomerase activity in the initiation and progression of the tumor. It seems that of paramount importance are mutations that lead to clonal expansion (RAS, BRAF), changes that disconnect senescence (activation of telomerase), and evasion of apoptosis. The knowledge of such mechanism will be of significance for a new molecular classification of melanoma, has importance in better prognosing the outcome, and permits the development of new molecular target therapy for tumors.

REFERENCES

1. URTEAGA O, PACK GT, Cancer, 19 (1966) 607. — 2. JEMAL A, SIEGEL R, WARD E, MURRAY T, SMIGAL C, THUN MJ, Ca Cancer J Clin, 56 (2006) 106. — 3. BURTON RC, Ca Cancer J Clin, 50 (2002) 209. — 4. SALMON PJ, CHAN WC, GRIFFIN J, MCKENZIE R, RADEMAKE RM, Austras J Dermatol, 48 (2007) 208. — 5. MACKIE RM, BRAY CA, HOLE DJ, Lancet, 360 (2002) 587. — 6. ŠITUM M, BULJAN M, OŽANIĆ-BULIĆ S, ŠIMIĆ D, Coll Antropol, 31 (2007) 13. — 7. NORVAL M, CULLEN AP, DE GRUIJL FR, LONGSTRETH J, TAKIZAWA Y, LUKAS RM, NOONAN FP, VAN DER LEUN JC, Photochem Photobiol Sci, 6 (2007) 232. — 8. THOMPSON JF, SCOLIER RA, KEFFORD RF, Lancet, 365 (2005) 687. — 9. WILKINSON GS, Am J Epidem, 145 (1997) 532. — 10. FREDMAN DM, SIGURDSON A, RAO RS, HAUPTMAN P, ALEXANDER B, MOHAN A, MORIN-DOODY M, LINET MS, Int J Cancer, 103 (2003) 556. — 11. ROCKLEY PF, TRIEFF N, WAGNER RF, TYRING SK, Int J Dermatol, 33 (1994) 398. — 12. ABDULLA FR, FELDMAN SR, WILLIFORD PM, KROWCHUK D, KAUR K, Pediatric Dermatol, 22 (2005) 501. — 13. GILIA-MARI G, SARASIN A, Hum Mutat, 21 (2003) 217. — 14. LEY RD, Cancer Res, 57 (1997) 3682. — 15. SETLOW RB, J Invest Dermatol Symp Proc, 4 (1999) 46. — 16. HANAHAN D, WEINBERG RA, Cell, 100 (2000) 57. — 17. GRUBER F, PEHARDA V, KAŠTELAN M, BRAJAC I, Acta Dermatovenereol Croat, 15 (2007) 191. — 18. GOODSSELL DS, Stem cells, 19 (2001) 348. — 19. RAMOS J, VILLA J, RUIZ A, ARMSTRONG R, MATTA J, Cancer Epidemiol Biom Preven, 13 (2004) 11. — 20. GRUBER F, ZAMOLO G, KAŠTELAN M, PRPIĆ-MASSARI L, ČABRIJAN L, PEHARDA V, BATINAC T, Coll Antropol, 31 (2007) 101. — 21. REBEL H, MOSNIER LO, BERG RJ, WESTERMAN A, VAN STEEG H, VAN KRANEN HJ, DE GRUIJL FR, Cancer Res, 61 (2001) 977. — 22. VAN KRANEN HJ, DE GRUIJL FR, J Epidemiol, 9 (1999) 58. — 23. MITCHELL D, PANIKER L, SANCHEZ G, TRONO D, NAIM R, Mol Carcinog, 46 (2007) 679. — 24. SWETTER SM, Surg Clin N Am, 83 (2003) 77. — 25. TING W, SCHULZ K, CAC NN, PETERSON M, WALLING HW, Intern J Dermatol, 46 (2007) 1253. — 26. HALLIDAY GM, Mut Res, 571 (2005) 107. — 27. HERMANN LS, PIERARD-FRANCHIMONT C, PIERARD GE, Rev Med Liege, 60 (2005) 42. — 28. PFEIFER GP, YOU YH, BESARATINIA A, Mut Res, 571 (2005) 19. — 29. BESARATINIA A, SYNOLD TW, CHEN HH, CHANG C, XI B, RIGGS AD, PFEIFER GP, PNAS, 102 (2005) 10058. — 30. BESARATINIA A, PFEIFER GP, Hum Mut, (2008). — 31. SOBER AJ, FITZPATRICK TB, MIHM MC, J Am Acad Dermatol, 2 (1980) 179. — 32. WEBER B, STRESEMANN C, BRUECKNER B, LYKO F, Cell Cycle, 6 (2007) 1001. — 33. ROTHHAMER T, BOSSEDORF AK, Pigment Cell Res, 20 (2007) 92. — 34. ESTELLER M, N Eng J Med, 358 (2008) 1148. — 35. CLARK WH, ELDER DE, GUERRY D, EPSTEIN MN, GREENE MH, VAN HORN M, Human Pathol, 15 (1984) 1147. — 36. ELDER DE, Clin Cancer Res, 12 (2006) 2308. — 37. NORRIS HW, Edinburgh Med and Surg, 16 (1820) 562. — 38. FOUNTAIN JW, BALE SJ, HOUSMAN DE, DRACOPOLI NC, Cancer Surv, 9 (1990) 645. — 39. PIPKORN MV, J Am Acad Dermatol, 31 (1994) 1022. — 40. POLSKY D, CORDON CARLO C, Oncogene, 22 (2003) 3087. — 41. FOUNTAIN JW, KARAYIORGOU M, ERNSTOFF MS, KIRKWOOD JM, VLOCK DR, TITUS L, BOUCHARD B, PNAS, 89 (1992) 10557. — 42. HUSSUSSIAN CJ, STRUEWING JP, GOLDSTEIN AM, HIGGINS PA, ALLY DS, SHEHAN MD, CLARK WJ, Nat Genet, 8 (1994) 15. — 43. ŽIGMUND M, NIKUŠEVA-MARTIĆ T, ČAČIĆ M, PEČINA-ŠLAUS N, Liječ Vjesn, 127 (2005) 89. — 44. HASHEMI J, PLATZ A, UENO T, STIERNER V, RINGBORN V, HANSSON J, Cancer Res, 60 (2000) 6864. — 45. WANG J, SHEN WH, JIN YJ, BRAND-RAUF PW, YIN Y, J Biol Chem, 282 (2007) 18521. — 46. ESKANDAPOUR M, HASHEMI J, KANTER L, RINGBORN U, PLATZ A, HANSSON J, J Natl Cancer Inst, 95 (2003) 790. — 47. BOUKAMP P, JDDG, 3 (2005) 493. — 48. CHIN L, Nature Rev, 3 (2003) 559. — 49. HA L, ICHIKAWA T, ANVER M, PNAS, 104 (2002) 10968. — 50. REES JL, Am J Hum Genet, 75 (2004) 739. — 51. DUFFY DL, BOX NF, CHEN W, PALMER JS, MONTGOMERY GW, JAMES MR, HAIRWARD NK, MARTIN NG, Hum Mol Genet Adv, 13 (2004) 447. — 52. KADEKARO AL, KAVANAH RJ, WAKAMATSU K, ITO S, PITONE MA, ABDEL-MALEK ZA, Pigment Cell Res, 16 (2003) 434. — 53. VAN DER VELDEN PA, SANDKUIJL LA, BERGMAN W, PAVEL S, VAN MOURIK L, FRANTS RR, GRUIS NA, Am J Hum Genet, 69 (2001) 774. — 54. GAO L, ZHAO H, CORNELIUS LA, G Ital Dermatol Venereol, 142 (2007) 71. — 55. SOUFIR N, AVRIL MF, CHROMPET A, DEMENAI S, BOMBLED M, SPATZ A, STOPPA-LIDNNET D, BENARD J, BRESSAC-DE PAILLERETS B, Hum Mol Genet, 7 (1998) 209. — 56. SOTILLO R, GARCIA JF, ORTEGA S, MARTIN J, DUBUS P, BARBACID M, MALUMBRES M, PNAS, 98 (2001) 13312. — 57. FLETCHER O, EASTON D, ANDERSON C, GILHAM C, JAY M, PETO J, J Nat cancer Inst, 96 (2004) 357. — 58. TSAO H, J Am Acad Dermatol, 42 (2000) 939. — 59. SPATZ A, GIGLIA-MARI G, BENHAMOU S, SARASIN A, Cancer Res, 61 (2001) 2480. — 60. DAVIES H, BIGUELL GR, COX C, STEPHENS P, EDKINS S, CLEGG S, Nature, 417 (2002) 949. — 61. MARAIS R, accessed: 15.06.2008. Available from: <http://education.book.aacrjournals.org>. — 62. KUMAR R, ANGELINI S, SMELLMAN E, HEMMINKI K, J Invest Dermatol, 122 (2004) 342. — 63. GOEL VK, LAZAR AJ, WARNEKE CL, REDSTON MS, HALUSKA FG, J Invest Dermatol, 126 (2006) 154. — 64. CURTIN JA, FRIDLUND J, KAGESHITA T, PATEL HN, BUSAM KJ, KUTZNER H, CHOK H, AIBA S, New Eng J Med, 353 (2005) 2135. — 65. MIRMOHAMMADSADEGH A, MARINI A, NAMBIAR S, HASSAN M, TANNAPFEL A, RUZICKA T, HENGGE VR, Cancer Res, 66 (2006) 6546. — 66. BENNETT CD, Pigment Cell Melanoma Res, 24 (2008) 27. — 67. HARRIS SL, LEVINE AJ, Oncogene, 24 (2005) 2899. — 68. CUI JD, WIDLUND HR, FEIGE E, LIN JY, WILENSKY DL, Cell, 128 (2007) 53. — 69. PATTON EE, WIDLUND HR, KUTOK JL, Curr Biol, 15 (2005) 249. — 70. TOMLINS SA, CHINNAIYAN AM, Cancer Cell, 10 (2006) 2. — 71. SCHATTON T, FRAK M, Pigment Cell Melanoma, 21 (2008) 39. — 72. FULLEN DR, ZHU W, THOMAS D, SU LD, J Cutan Pathol, 32 (2005) 680. — 73. BATINAC T, HADŽIJEVIĆ I, BRUMINI, Coll Antropol, 31 (2007) 17. — 74. HANISZKO J, SUSKIND RR, J Invest Dermatol, 40 (1963) 183. — 75. KRIPKE, M.L., J Natl Cancer Inst, 53 (1974) 1333. — 76. KRIPKE ML, J Natl Cancer Inst, 63 (1979) 541. — 77. KRIPKE ML, FISH-ER S, J Natl Cancer Inst, 57 (1976) 211. — 78. KRIPKE ML, J Am Acad Dermatol, 14 (1986) 149. — 79. NOLA I, KOSTOVIĆ K, KOTRULJA L, LUGOVIĆ V, Acta Clin Croat, 42 (2003) 119. — 80. NGHIEM DX, KAZIMI N, CLYDESDALE G, J Invest Dermatol, 117 (2001) 1193. — 81. SANTO DOMINGO D, YANG MF, COOPER KD, BARON ED, G Ital Dermatol Venereol, 142 (2007) 251. — 82. SLUYTER R, HALLIDAY KM, Cancer Immunol Immunother, 50 (2001) 151. — 83. GRUBER F, MOHAR N, Acta Dermatovenereol Iug, 4 (1977) 93. — 84. ORIMOTO AM, NETO CF, PIMENTEL ER, J Cutan patol, 35 (2008) 285. — 85. VÄISÄNEN AH, KALLIOINEN M, TURPEENNIEMI-HUJANEN T, Hum Pathol, 39 (2008) 377. — 86. STREIT M, DETMAR M, Oncogene, 22 (2003) 3172. — 87. AHABELESWAR GH, BYZOVA TV, Semin Oncol, 34 (2007) 555.

F. Gruber

Department of Dermatovenereology, University Hospital Centre »Rijeka«, Krešimirova 42, Rijeka, Croatia
e-mail: franjo.gruber@ri.t-com.hr

GENETSKI I MOLEKULARNI MEHANIZMI U NASTANKU MELANOMA

SAŽETAK

Novija istraživanja ukazuju na porast broja melanoma širom svijeta. Unatoč tome što se signaturne mutacije rijetko opažaju u melanomskim stanicama, postoje dokazi da intenzivno i intermitetno izlaganje UV zrakama može prouzročiti malignu transformaciju melanocita, na što upućuju eksperimenti na životinjama izloženim UV zrakama. U ovom smo se radu osvrnuli na važnije mehanizme uključene u patogenezu ovog tumora. Genetske studije obiteljskog melanoma ukazale su na udruženost s mutacijama ili delecijom supresornog gena CDKN 2A, a vjerojatno i gena CDK4. Isto tako utvrđena je češća pojava mutacije BRAF-a u primarnim i metastatskim melanomima, a također u pigmentnim madežima. Ta mutacija aktivira RAS-MEK signalni put. Izlaganje UV zračenju uzrokuje i imunosupresiju. Novija istraživanja su pokazala da kemokini, angiogeneza i metaloproteinaze mogu imati ulogu u nastanku metastaza melanoma. Unatoč svim postignućima početni događaji još nisu potpuno razjašnjeni. U zaključku treba istaknuti da je patogeneza melanoma vrlo složena, te da u razvoju melanoma značajnu ulogu imaju genetski, epigenetski i čimbenici okoliša. Neki od spomenutih mehanizama mogu biti cilj novih terapija.